

Meeting: Governing Body (Meeting in Public)			
Meeting Date	25 November 2020	Action	Consider
Item No.	13a	Confidential	No
Title	Quality and Performance Committee Chair's Report		
Presented By	Peter Bury, Lay Member – Quality and Performance		
Author	Lindsay Johnson, Committee Secretary		
Clinical Lead	-		

Executive Summary
This paper is presented to the Governing Body to provide an update on information submitted and recommendations made at the Quality and Performance Committee meeting held on 11 th November 2020.
Recommendations
It is recommended that the Governing Body: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note the update provided.

Links to CCG Strategic Objectives	
SO1 People and Place To enable the people of Bury to live in a place where they can co-create their own good health and well-being and to provide good quality care when it is needed to help people return to the best possible quality of life	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SO2 Inclusive Growth To increase the productivity of Bury's economy by enabling all Bury people to contribute to and benefit from growth by accessing good jobs with good career prospects and through commissioning for social value	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SO3 Budget To deliver a balanced budget for 2019/20	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
SO4 Staff Wellbeing To increase the involvement and wellbeing of all staff in scope of the OCO.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Does this report seek to address any of the risks included on the Governing Body Assurance Framework? If yes, state which risk below:	
GBAF N/A	

Implications						
Are there any quality, safeguarding or	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Implications						
patient experience implications?						
Has any engagement (clinical, stakeholder or public/patient) been undertaken in relation to this report?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Have any departments/organisations who will be affected been consulted ?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any conflicts of interest arising from the proposal or decision being requested?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any financial Implications?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Has an Equality, Privacy or Quality Impact Assessment been completed?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is an Equality, Privacy or Quality Impact Assessment required?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Are there any associated risks including Conflicts of Interest?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the risks on the CCG's risk register?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>

Governance and Reporting		
Meeting	Date	Outcome
Quality and Performance Committee	11/11/2020	Items discussed

1. Regular items: Governance

The meeting was quorate and no interests were declared that affected members participating fully in the meeting.

The minutes of the previous meeting were ratified and the action log updated.

2. Regular items: Business

2.1 Performance Report

The Quality and Performance Committee received the report and noted that the purpose of the report was to provide an updated position on the CCG's performance against the national performance indicators set out in the NHS Constitution and as monitored by NHS England. The report submitted outlined the CCG's performance position for August 2020 and concentrated on the following performance areas; Covid 19, Elective Care, Cancer, Urgent Care, Children and Young People as well as Mental Health.

The report generated discussion from the Committee especially in terms of waiting time breaches, financial penalties for Phase 3 and eating disorder support for children and young people. A number of follow up actions were issued which included investigation into the approach being taken by PAHT in relation to management of patients waiting in excess of 52 weeks.

The Quality and Performance Committee noted the update, discussed and issued the actions as required.

2.2 Quality Dashboard

The Quality and Performance Committee received a detailed quality dashboard which provided an update on the following; National Patient Safety, Psychological therapy services in secondary care, PAHT and NCA, FGH mortality group, staff swabbing, district nursing resilience, children's services, general practice as well as PCFT mental health and learning disabilities. The Committee reviewed the information and asked for clarification with PCFT if ward location outside of a patient's home borough impacts on s117 aftercare responsibilities and funding.

The Committee was also made aware of a positive piece of news of a Bury Nurse winning a Royal College of Nursing North West equality, diversity and inclusion award which was well received.

The Quality and Performance Committee noted the update.

3.1 Current Health Provision in Bury Complex Safeguarding Team

The paper gave an update of the current health provision within Bury's Complex Safeguarding Team along with detailing its strengths and weaknesses within the current provision. The report highlighted the peer audit and benchmarking exercise which confirmed

that there were inequalities in the health provision in Bury compared to other areas across GM. As a result of this, the Quality and Performance Committee was asked to support Bury CCG Safeguarding Teams' recommendation to have a Specialist Nurse to provide resilience in the system for the health element and to allow continuity of care for children, young people and their families who would work within the co-located CST, FYE from April 2021. Questions from the Committee were along the lines of whether this specialist nurse would sit within the NCA for example, with the Committee being informed that discussions internally would take place in relation to this.

The Quality and Performance Committee supported the recommendation and agreed for the post to be progressed through the appropriate governance route.

3.2. Deep Dive and Retention Review

The Quality and Performance Committee were informed that the deep dive and retention review process was in place to ensure that risks being monitored were articulated and aligned to the organisation on an annual basis. The Quality and Performance Committee noted that two risks below have been scheduled for a review at this meeting:-

- **GB2021_PR_1.4 COVID-19 Increased demand on services – level 25 since start of pandemic.**
- **WS_WC_O_PE_R_06 Autistic Spectrum Conditions Assessment - Workforce capacity to deliver assessments**

Brief discussions took place in regard to these two risks around target dates and the Quality and Performance Committee agreed that for the Covid 19 Risk, further discussion take place outside of the Committee meeting with relevant members of staff taking stock of the current situation (as with the implementation of the vaccine for example), this may have an effect on the risk score.

With regard to the Autistic Spectrum Conditions Assessment (workforce capacity) risk, the Quality and Performance Committee were keen that although the risk was around the delivery of the trajectory, it was important to capture narrative that the need of the patient is at the centre of this recognising there may be a detrimental impact for the patients if there were reduced assessments.

The Quality and Performance Committee noted the updated and outlined the actions required as above.

4 Risk Report

The Quality and Performance Committee were made aware that there were ten (10) risks in total included on the Q&P Risk Register of and these risks one was a GBAF risk, 9 were operational risks and as such all 10 risks remain static. The report issued presented the status and position as of 31 October 2020.

The Quality and Performance Committee noted the information submitted and that an update would be provided at the December Quality and Performance Committee and discussions around the risks may need to be prioritised.

**Peter Bury
Lay Member – Quality and Performance
November 2020**